They have persisted on the mountain summits because of the arid and relatively warm conditions of the shallow soil and exposed dark rocks, and the consequent freedom from competition with the surrounding mesic forest types.

> Museum of Natural History University of Oregon, Eugene

LITERATURE CITED

Baker, W. H. 1951. Plants of Fairview Mountain, Calapooya Range, Oregon. Am. Mid. Nat. 46:132–173.

Detling, L. E. 1948. Concentration of environmental extremes as the basis for vegetation areas. Madroño 9:169–185.

Hansen, H. P. 1947. Postglacial forest succession, climate, and chronology in the Pacific Northwest. Trans. Am. Philos. Soc. 37:1–130.

Fig. 1. Location of islands of xeric flora in central western Oregon.

BALSAMORHIZA TEREBINTHACEA AND OTHER HYBRID BALSAM-ROOTS

WILLIAM A. WEBER

Balsamorhiza terebinthacea (Hook.) Nutt. was one of the earliest described taxa in the genus Balsamorhiza. It first appeared in the literature as Heliopsis (?) terebinthacea Hooker ([1834] 1840) and was described in the same article with the type species, Balsamorhiza Hookeri, which Hooker called Heliopsis (?) balsamorhiza. The taxon has been accepted as a species by most subsequent authors, including Peck (1941), Rydberg (1917), St. John (1937), and by the monographer of the genus, Ward M. Sharp (1935).

Hooker's diagnosis was as follows (the italics by the present

author):

H.? terebinthacea: pubescens, foliis radicalibus petiolatis-ovatolanceolatis sinuato-pinnatifidis crenato-serratis, involucri foliolis numerosis lanceolato-acuminatis imbricatis caulem paucifoliatum subaequantibus, radice crassa balsamifera. Hab. Common at Fort Vancouver, on the Columbia, and in the grounds of the interior. Douglas.—Closely allied to the preceding species [H. balsamorhiza],

and yielding in its root the same terebinthine juice.

In studies on natural hybridization in the genus Balsamorhiza, Ownbey and Weber (1943) showed that intersectional crosses between species of the Section Eubalsamorhiza, with pinnatifid leaves, and the Section Artorhiza, with triangularcordate leaves, result in introgressants which tend to preserve the habit and leaf-size of the Artorhiza parent while they pick up various degrees of the lobing of the Eubalsamorhiza parent. Thus, a large number of intermediate individuals occur which have the general leaf outline of the *Artorhiza* species, but which possess leaf-margins varying from a few scattered crenate teeth all the way to deeply and irregularly pinnatifid. In taxonomic practice, these individuals have been called *Balsamor*hiza terebinthacea.

The type specimen of *B. terebinthacea* (fig. 1) is such an individual. It is apparently a derivative of the cross, *B. deltoidea* Nutt. × *B. Hookeri* (Hook.) Nutt., since this is the only combination of parental types which occurs in the vicinity of the type locality. In addition to the type specimen, Sharp (1935), in his monograph of the genus, cited the following specimens under his treatment of *B. terebinthacea* which probably represent hybrids of other parental combinations: *Suksdorf* 10866, 360, 135, Klickitat County, Washington, = *B. Careyana* × *B. Hookeri*; *Peck* 8456, Josephine County, Oregon, = *B. deltoidea* × *B. platylepis*; *Butler* 1337, Siskiyou County, California = *B. deltoidea* × *B. Hookeri* var. *lanata*. Similar individuals occur throughout the Pacific Northwest wherever the ranges and habitats of *Artorhiza* and *Eubalsamorhiza* species overlap.

It can be demonstrated, therefore, that Balsamorhiza terebinthacea is not a natural taxon except as it represents similar phenotypes obtained from crosses involving different pairs of species. It has, nevertheless, been a convenient name under which to file intermediate specimens in the herbarium when only one specimen from a given population is available. Unfortunately, collectors often seek out the unusual form in a population and neglect to preserve the typical specimens of the parental types which might indicate the probable parentage.

Although the name *B. terebinthacea* has provided a convenient category for filing the progeny of *B. deltoidea* and *B. Hookeri* crosses, as well as intermediate forms of unknown parentage, the writer feels it best not to encumber nomenclature by giving names to all hybrids of various parental combinations. The better course would be to designate as hybrids those names that have already been published as species, and in the future, whenever possible, refer to other known hybrids by their parental names connected by an ×. Previously published names in *Balsamorhiza* that can now be designated as hybrids, and their parental equivalents, are cited below.

× Balsamorhiza terebinthacea (Hook.) Nutt. Trans. Am. Philos. Soc. II. 7:349. 1841, hybrid, B. deltoidea Nutt. × B.

Hookeri (Hook.) Nutt.

 \times Balsamorhiza Bonseri St. John, Fl. S.E. Wash. and Adj. Idaho, 1937, hybrid, *B. rosea* Nels. & Macbride \times *B. sagittata* (Pursh) Nutt.

× Balsamorhiza tomentosa Rydberg, Bull. Torrey Club 27: 628. 1900 (B. incana var. tomentosa Sharp), hybrid, B. incana Nutt. × B. sagittata (Pursh) Nutt.

University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado

REFERENCES CITED

Hooker, W. J. [1834] 1940. Flora Boreali-Americana. London, H. G. Bohn.



PLATE 1. Type Specimen of Balsamorhiza terebinthacea (Hook.) Nutt.